arXiv:1402.6605v1 [math.AP] 26 Feb 2014

Global Well-posedness of Incompressible Elastodynamics in 2D

Zhen Lei*

Fudan University and Institute for Advanced Study

Abstract

We prove that for sufficiently small initial displacements in some weighted Sobolev space, the Cauchy problem of the systems of incompressible isotropic elastodynamics in two space dimensions admits a uniqueness global classical solution.

1 Introduction

This paper considers the global existence of classical solutions to the Cauchy problem in incompressible nonlinear elastodynamics. The unbounded elastic medium is assumed to be homogeneous, isotropic and hyperelastic. The systems of equations describing the motion exhibit a nonlocal nature due to the incompressibility. The linearized system turns out to be of wave type. We explore that the nonlinearities in the systems of incompressible isotropic elastodynamics are *inherently strong linearly degenerate* and automatically satisfy a *strong null condition* (for the general non-Kookean case, see Remark 2.2). We prove the global existence of classical solutions to this Cauchy problem in the two-dimensional case for small initial displacements in a certain weighted Sobolev space.

To place our result in context, we review a few highlights from the existence theory of nonlinear wave equations and elastodynamics. If the spatial dimension is no bigger than three, the global existence of these equations hinges on two basic assumptions: the initial data being sufficiently small and the nonlinearities satisfying a type of null condition. The omission of either of these assumptions may lead to the breakdown of solutions in finite time. In particular, for 3D compressible elastodynamics, John [13] proved that arbitrarily small spherically symmetric displacements develop singularities without null condition. On the other hand, formation of singularities for large

*School of Mathematical Sciences; LMNS and Shanghai Key Laboratory for Contemporary Applied Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P. R.China and Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton University, NJ 08540, USA. *Email: leizhn@gmail.com, leizhn@math.ias.edu*

displacements was illustrated by Tahvildar-Zadeh [42]. For nonlinear wave equations with sufficiently small initial data but without null condition, the finite time blowup was shown by John [12] and Alinhac [5] in 3D, and Alinhac [3, 4, 6] in 2D. We remark that the 2D case is highly nontrivial.

From now on, we will always assume that the initial data is sufficiently small in certain weighted Sobolev space since we are concerned with the long-time behavior of nonlinear elastodynamics and nonlinear wave equations. The first non-trivial long time existence result may be the one by John and Klainerman [15] where the almost global existence theory is obtained for 3D quasilinear scalar wave equation. In the seminal work [18], Klainerman introduced the vector field theory and the so-called generalized energy method based on the scaling, rotation and Lorentz invariant properties of wave operator, providing a general framework for studying nonlinear wave equations. Then in [19], Klainerman proved the global existence of classical solutions for 3D scalar quasilinear wave equation under the assumptions that the nonlinearity satisfies the null condition. This landmark work was also obtained independently by Christodoulou [8] using a conformal mapping method. We also mention that John [14] established the almost global existence theory for 3D compressible elastodynamics via an $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimate.

The generalized energy method of Klainerman can be adapted to prove almost global existence for certain nonrelativistic systems of 3-D nonlinear wave equations, using Klainerman-Sideris's weighted L^2 energy estimate which only involves the scaling and rotation invariance of the system, as was first done in [20]. This approach was subsequently developed to obtain global existence under the null condition in [41], see also [43] for a different method. Of particular importance is that Sideris [37] (independently by Agemi [1], see also an earlier result [36]) proved the global existence of classical solutions to the 3D compressible elastodynamics under a null condition. For 3D incompressible elastodynamics, the Hookean part of the system is inherently degenerate and satisfies a null condition. The global existence was established by Sideris and Thomases in [38, 40]. We also mention that a unified treatment for obtaining weighted L^2 estimates (of second order derivatives of unknowns) for certain 3D hyperbolic systems appeared in [39].

The existence question is more delicate in 2-D case because, even under the assumption of the null condition, quadratic nonlinearities have at most critical time decay. A series of articles considered the case of cubically nonlinear equations satisfying the null condition, see for example [10, 16, 31]. Alinhac [2] was the first to establish global existence for 2D scalar wave equation with null bilinear forms. His argument combines vector fields with what he called the ghost energy method. We emphasis that the method of Alinhac crucially relies on the Lorentz invariance of the system. In particular, at the time of this writing, under null conditions, the global well-posedness of following problems (for which Lorentz invariance is not available) still remains widely open:

- Nonlinear nonrelativistic wave systems in two dimension.

- Systems of nonlinear elastodynamics in two dimension.

The first no non-trivial long time existence result concerning the above two problems is the recent work by Lei, Sideris and Zhou [27], in which the authors proved the almost global existence for incompressible isotropic elastodynamics in 2D by formulating the system in Euler coordinate. Their proof is based on Klainerman's generalized energy method, enhanced by Alinhac's ghost energy method and Klainerman-Sideris's weighted L^2 energy method. That is the first time to apply Alinhac's ghost weight method to the case that Lorentz invariance is absent and the system is nonlocal. A novel observation is the treatment of the term involving pressure which is shown to enjoy a null structure. Unfortunately, it seems hard for us to improve the result in [27] to be a global one under their framework, since there finite propagation speed and the Lorentz invariance of the equations may be necessary for that purpose through a certain Hardy-type inequality.

In this paper, we prove the global existence of the incompressible elasticity in two dimension. To best illustrate our ideas and to make the presentation as simple it could be, we will only focus on the typical Hookean case. There is no essential difficulty to apply the proof here to the general case whenever the nonlinearities satisfy a null condition (see Remark 2.2 for more descriptions). Our proof here is a more structural one than requiring involved technical tools. We first formulate the system in Lagrangian coordinate. The first observation is that the main part of the main nonlinearity $\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p$ always contains a term of $(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y$. This gives us the so-called *strong null condition* (we suggest to use this terminology because it is stronger than the usual null condition, as will be seen in the proof). When we perform the highest order generalized energy estimate, at the first glance we always lose one derivative if we bound the pressure term in L^2 (see Section 4 and Section 5). A natural way to avoid this difficulty may be introducing the new unknowns

$$U^\alpha = \Gamma^\alpha Y + (\nabla X)^{-\top}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla\cdot[(\nabla X)^\top\Gamma^\alpha Y]$$

to symmetrize the system. Unfortunately, this idea leads to complicated calculations, and more essentially, it may not work at all. But fortunately, the inherent strong null structure of nonlinearities helps us to have a kind of estimate in which we gain one derivative when estimating the L^2 norm of $\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p$ (see Section 5). The price we pay here is to have less decay rate in time, which will be overcome by applying Alinhac's ghost weight as in [1]. For the lower order energy estimate, our observation is that instead of estimating the L^2 norm of $\Gamma^\alpha Y$, we turn to estimate its divergence-free part and curl-free part. The divergence-free part is not a main problem. When estimating the curl-free part of $\Gamma^\alpha Y$, the strong linearly degenerate structure is present once again by appropriately rewriting the system as the form in (6.1). Then the generalized energy estimate for the curl-free part of $\Gamma^\alpha Y$ can be carried out very simple with a subcritical time decay which is $\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ (it is still not clear for us whether similar estimate is true in Euler coordinate).

As in [20, 37], we need to estimate a kind of weighted L^2 generalized energy norm. A technical difficulty here is to control the weighted generalized L^2 energy $\mathcal{X}_\kappa$ in terms

of the generalized energy $\mathcal{E}_\kappa$. For this purpose, we will have to estimate the r-weighted null form of $r(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y$, which seems not naively true since r is not in $\mathcal{A}_p$ class of a zero-order Riesz operator for $p=2$ in two space dimension (See Lemma 3.3 for details). We overcome this difficulty by considering a variaty of the weighted L^2 generalized energy of Klainerman-Sideris [20] (see its definition (2.13) in Section 2) so that we only need to estimate $\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y$. A trick here is that instead of a gain of one derivative as in performing highest order energy estimate in section 5, we use the strong null condition to gain suitable decay rate in time.

Before ending the introduction, let us mention some related works on viscoelasticity, where there is viscosity in the momentum equation. The global well-posedness with near equilibrium states is first obtained in [29] (see also [26]). The 3-D case was obtained independently in [7] (see also the thesis [21]) and [25]. The initial boundary value problem is considered in [30], the compressible case can be found in [33, 11]. For more results near equilibrium, readers are referred to the nice review paper by Lin [28] and other works in [9, 34, 35] as references. In [22] a class of large solutions in two space dimensions are established via the strain-rotation decomposition (which is based on earlier results in [23] and [24]). In all of these works, the initial data is restricted by the viscosity parameter. The work [17] was the first to establish global existence for 3-D viscoelastic materials uniformly in the viscosity parameter.

We will give a self-contained presentation for the whole proof. The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we will formulate the system of incompressible elastodynamics in Lagrangian coordinate and present its basic properties, then we introduce some notations and state the main result of this paper. We will outline the main steps of the proof at the end of this section. In section 3 we will prove some weighted Sobolev imbedding inequalities and weighted L^∞ estimate, and we also prove a refined Sobolev inequality. We also give the estimate for good derivatives and lay down a preliminary step for estimating weighted generalized L^2 energy. Then we will explore the estimate for strong null form in section 4, and at the end of that section we give the estimate for the weighted L^2 energy. In section 5 we present the highest order generalized energy estimate. Then we perform the lower order generalized energy estimate in section 6.

2 Equation and Its Basic Properties

In the incompressible case, the equations of elastodynamics are in general more conveniently written as a first-order system with constraints in Eulerian coordinates (see, for instance [38, 40, 27]). But we will formulate the system in Lagrangian coordinate below.

For any given smooth flow map $X(t,y)$, we call it incompressible if

$$\int_\Omega dy=\int_{\Omega_t} dX,\quad \Omega_t=\{X(t,y)|y\in\Omega\}$$

for any smooth bounded connected domain Ω. Clearly, the incompressibility is equivalent to

$$\det(\nabla X) \equiv 1.$$

Denote

$$X(t,y) = y + Y(t,y). \tag{2.1}$$

Then has

$$\nabla \cdot Y = -\det(\nabla Y). \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, a simple calculation shows that

$$(\nabla X)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\partial_2 Y^2 & -\partial_1 Y^2 \\ -\partial_2 Y^1 & 1+\partial_1 Y^1 \end{pmatrix} = (\nabla \cdot X) I - (\nabla X)^\top. \tag{2.3}$$

We remark that throughout this paper we use the following convention

$$(\nabla Y)_{ij} = \frac{\partial Y^i}{\partial y^j}.$$

We often use the following notations

$$\omega = \frac{x}{r}, \quad r = |x|, \quad \omega^\perp = \left(-\omega_2, \omega_1\right), \quad \nabla^\perp = \left(-\partial_2, \partial_1\right).$$

For homogeneous, isotropic and hyperelastic materials, the motion of the elastic fluids is determined by the following Lagrangian functional of flow maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(X;T,\Omega) \;=\; & \int_0^T \int_\Omega \big(\frac{1}{2}|\partial_t X(t,y)|^2 - W(\nabla X(t,y)) \\ & + p(t,y)\big[\det\big(\nabla X(t,y)\big) - 1\big]\big) dy dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Here $W \in C^\infty(GL_2, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is the strain energy function which depends only on F and $p(t,y)$ is a Lagrangian multiplier which is used to force the flow maps to be incompressible. We call that $X(t,y)$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$ if for any $T \in (0,\infty)$ and any bounded smooth connected domain Ω, there holds

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \mathcal{L}(X^\epsilon; T, \Omega) = 0$$

for all $p \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R})$ and any one-parameter family of incompressible flow maps $X^\epsilon \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} X^\epsilon(t,y) = Z(t,y)$, $X^0(t,y) = X(t,y)$ and $Z(0,y) = Z(T,y) \equiv 0$ for all $y \in \Omega$, $Z(t,y) \equiv 0$ for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $y \in \partial\Omega$.

Let us focus on the most simplest case, i.e., the Hookean case, in which the strain energy functional is simply given by

$$W(\nabla X) = \frac{1}{2}|\nabla X|^2.$$

The general case is commented in Remark 2.2. Clearly, in this Hookean case, the Euler-Lagrangian equation of (2.4) takes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 Y - \Delta Y = -(\nabla X)^{-\top} \nabla p, \\ \nabla \cdot Y = -\det(\nabla Y). \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Let us take a look at the invariance groups of system (2.5). Suppose that $X(t,y)$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$ in (2.4). Clearly, $\widetilde{X}(t,y)$ are also critical points of $\mathcal{L}$ in (2.4), which are defined either by

$$\widetilde{X}(t,y) = Q^T X(t,Qy), \quad \forall \quad Q = e^{\lambda A}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.6}$$

or by

$$\widetilde{X}(t,y) = \lambda^{-1} X(\lambda t, \lambda y) \tag{2.7}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. As a result, one also has

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 \widetilde{Y} - \Delta \widetilde{Y} = -(\nabla \widetilde{X})^{-\top} \nabla \widetilde{p}, \\ \nabla \cdot \widetilde{Y} = -\det(\nabla \widetilde{Y}), \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

where $\widetilde{p}(t,y) = p(t,Qy)$ if $\widetilde{X}$ is defined by (2.6) and $\widetilde{p}(t,y) = p(\lambda t, \lambda y)$ if $\widetilde{X}$ is defined by (2.7).

Let us first take $\widetilde{X}$ being defined in (2.7). Differentiate (2.8) with respect to λ and then take $\lambda = 1$, one has

$$\begin{cases} (\nabla X)^\top (\partial_t^2 - \Delta)(S-1)Y + [\nabla (S-1)Y]^\top (\partial_t^2 - \Delta) Y = -\nabla S p, \\ \nabla \cdot (S-1)Y = \partial_1 (S-1) Y^2 \partial_2 Y^1 + \partial_1 Y^2 \partial_2 (S-1) Y^1 \\ \qquad\qquad - \partial_1 Y^1 \partial_2 (S-1) Y^2 - \partial_1 (S-1) Y^1 \partial_2 Y^2. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Here S denotes the scaling operator:

$$S = t\partial_t + y^j \partial_j,$$

and throughout this paper, we use Einstein's convention for repeated indices. Similarly, denote the rotation operator by

$$\Omega = I \partial_\theta + A, \quad \partial_\theta = y^1 \partial_2 - y^2 \partial_1,$$

where A is given in (2.6). Let $\widetilde{X}$ be defined in (2.6). Differentiate (2.8) with respect to λ and then take $\lambda \to 0$, one has

$$\begin{cases} (\nabla X)^\top (\partial_t^2 \Omega Y - \Delta \Omega Y) + (\nabla \Omega Y)^\top (\partial_t^2 Y - \Delta Y) = -\nabla \partial_\theta p, \\ \nabla \cdot \Omega Y = \partial_1 \Omega Y^2 \partial_2 Y^1 + \partial_1 Y^2 \partial_2 \Omega Y^1 - \partial_1 Y^1 \partial_2 \Omega Y^2 - \partial_1 \Omega Y^1 \partial_2 Y^2. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

For any vector Y and scalar p, we make the following conventions:

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{\Omega} Y \triangleq \partial_\theta Y + AY, \quad \widetilde{\Omega} p \triangleq \partial_\theta p, \quad \widetilde{\Omega} Y^j = (\widetilde{\Omega} Y)^j, \\ \widetilde{S} Y \triangleq (S-1)Y, \quad \widetilde{S} p \triangleq Sp, \quad \widetilde{S} Y^j = (\widetilde{S} Y)^j. \end{cases}$$

Let Γ be any operator of the following set

$$\{\partial_t, \partial_1, \partial_2, \widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{S}\}.$$

Then for any multi-index $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5\}^\top \in \mathbb{N}^5$, using (2.9) and (2.10) inductively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^2 \Gamma^\alpha Y - \Delta \Gamma^\alpha Y = & -(\nabla X)^{-\top} \nabla \Gamma^\alpha p \\ & - \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha} (\nabla X)^{-\top} (\nabla \Gamma^\beta Y)^\top (\partial_t^2 - \Delta) \Gamma^\gamma Y. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

together with the constraint

$$\nabla \cdot \Gamma^\alpha Y = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \big(\partial_1 \Gamma^\beta Y^2 \partial_2 \Gamma^\gamma Y^1 - \partial_1 \Gamma^\gamma Y^1 \partial_2 \Gamma^\beta Y^2 \big). \tag{2.12}$$

The structural identity (2.12) will be of extremal importance for our proof.

Throughout this paper, we use the notation D for space-time derivatives:

$$D = (\partial_t, \partial_1, \partial_2).$$

We use $\langle a \rangle$ to denote

$$\langle a \rangle = \sqrt{1 + a^2}$$

and $[a]$ to denote the biggest integer which is no more than a:

$$[a] = \text{the biggest integer which is no more than a.}$$

We often use the following abbreviations:

$$\|\Gamma^{|\alpha|} f\| = \sum_{|\beta| \le |\alpha|} \|\Gamma^\beta f\|.$$

We need to use Klainerman's generalized energy which is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_\kappa = \sum_{|\alpha| \le \kappa - 1} \|D \Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We define the following weighted L^2 generalized energy by (which is a modification of the original one of Klainerman-Sideris in [20])

$$\mathcal{X}_\kappa = \sum_{|\alpha| \le \kappa - 2} \Big(\int_{r \le 2\langle t \rangle} \langle t - r \rangle^2 |D^2 \Gamma^\alpha Y|^2 dy + \int_{r > 2\langle t \rangle} \langle t \rangle^2 |D^2 \Gamma^\alpha Y|^2 dy \Big). \tag{2.13}$$

To describe the space of the initial data, we introduce

$$\Lambda = \{\nabla, r\partial_r, \Omega\},$$

and

$$H^\kappa_\Lambda = \big\{(f,g)\big| \sum_{|\alpha|\le\kappa-1} \big(\|\Lambda^\alpha f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\Lambda^\alpha f\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^\alpha g\|_{L^2}\big) < \infty\big\}.$$

Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} H^\kappa_\Gamma(T) = \big\{Y : [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}^2 \big| & \Gamma^\alpha Y \in L^\infty([0,T); L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \\ & \partial_t\Gamma^\alpha Y, \nabla\Gamma^\alpha Y \in L^\infty([0,T); L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \ \ \forall\ |\alpha| \le \kappa-1\big\} \end{aligned}$$

with the norm

$$\sup_{0\le t<T} \mathcal{E}^{1/2}_\kappa(Y).$$

We are ready to state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $W(F) = \frac{1}{2}|F|^2$ be an isotropic Hookean strain energy function. Let $M_0 > 0$ and $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{8}$ be two given constants and $(Y_0, v_0) \in H^\kappa_\Lambda$ with $\kappa \ge 10$. Suppose that Y_0 satisfies the structural constraint (2.2) at $t = 0$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_\kappa(0) &= \sum_{|\alpha|\le\kappa-1} \big(\|\nabla\Lambda^\alpha Y_0\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^\alpha v_0\|_{L^2}\big) \le M_0, \\ \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\kappa-2}(0) &= \sum_{|\alpha|\le\kappa-3} \big(\|\nabla\Lambda^\alpha Y_0\|_{L^2} + \|\Lambda^\alpha v_0\|_{L^2}\big) \le \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a positive constant $\epsilon_0 < e^{-M_0}$ which depends only on κ and M_0, δ such that, if $\epsilon \le \epsilon_0$, then the system of incompressible Hookean elastodynamics (2.5) with following initial data

$$Y(0,y) = Y_0(y), \quad \partial_t Y(0,y) = v_0(y)$$

has a unique global classical solution such that

$$\mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\kappa-2}(t) \le \epsilon \exp\big\{C_0^2 M_0\big\}, \quad \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_\kappa(t) \le C_0 M_0 (1+t)^\delta$$

for some $C_0 > 1$ uniformly in t.

Remark 2.2. In the case when $W(F)$ is a general isotropic storage energy functional, W depends on F only through the principal invariants of the strain tensor $F^\top F$ (see, for instance, [32], page 192). In the two space dimensional incompressible case, the principal invariants of the strain tensor $F^\top F$ are $\mathrm{tr}F^\top F$ which is equal to $|\nabla X|^2$ and $\det F^\top F$ which is identity. Consequently, the Lagrangian functional in (2.4) can be reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(X;T,\Omega) \ = \ & \int_0^T \int_\Omega \big(\frac{1}{2}|\partial_t X(t,y)|^2 - \widehat{W}(|\nabla X(t,y)|^2) \\ & + p(t,y)\big[\det\big(\nabla X(t,y)\big) - 1\big]\big) dydt \end{aligned}$$

for some one-dimensional smooth function $\widehat{W} = \widehat{W}(s)$. Under the natural Legendre-Hadamard condition which ensures that the linearized part of the dynamic system is hyperbolic and a null condition on the nonlinearities (see [37], (2.9b)), it is not difficult to show that the general isotropic elastic system only differs from the Hookean elastic case by forth-order and higher nonlinear terms. Then the spirit of the proofs for the general case will be similar to the one for the typical Hookean case, and the only issue to be taken care of is the symmetry of nonlinearities so that we have no loss of derivative when performing generalized energy estimate. Since including the general case wouldn't make any essential difference for the strategy of the proof below but only makes it looking much more complicated, we will choose to treat it elsewhere.

The main strategy of the proof is as follows: For initial data satisfying the constraints in Theorem 2.1, we will prove that

$$\mathcal{E}'_{\kappa}(t) \leq \frac{C_0}{4}\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa}(t)\mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\kappa-2}(t)$$

which is given in (5.6) and

$$\mathcal{E}'_{\kappa-2}(t) \leq \frac{C_0}{4}\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}(t)\mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\kappa}(t)$$

which is given in (6.2) and (6.3), for some absolute positive constant C_0 depending only on κ. Once the above differential inequalities are proved, it is easy to show that the bounds for $\mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\kappa-2}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\kappa}$ given in the theorem can never be reached, which will yield the global existence result and complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Indeed, one may assume that $T < \infty$ be such that for $0 \leq t \leq T$, there hold

$$\mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\kappa-2} \leq \epsilon \exp\big\{C_0^2 M_0\big\}, \quad \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\kappa}(t) \leq C_0 M_0 (1+t)^{\delta}. \tag{2.14}$$

Then by using the first differential inequality and (2.14), one has

$$\mathcal{E}_{\kappa}(T) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\kappa}(0)\exp\Big\{\frac{C_0\epsilon\exp\{C_0^2M_0\}}{4}\int_0^T\langle t\rangle^{-1}dt\Big\} = M_0^2(1+T)^{C_0\epsilon\exp\{C_0^2M_0\}}$$

and by using the second differential inequality and (2.14), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}(T) &\leq \mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}(0)\exp\Big\{\frac{C_0^2M_0}{4}\int_0^T\langle t\rangle^{\delta-\frac{3}{2}}dt\Big\}\\ &< \epsilon^2\exp\Big\{C_0^2M_0\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, if we take ϵ_0 so small such that

$$\epsilon_0 \leq C_0^{-1}\delta\exp\Big\{-C_0^2M_0\Big\},$$

then at $t = T$ both inequalities in (2.14) can not be reached. Since the above argument is independent of $T > 0$, hence we in fact proved the *a priori* bounds appeared in Theorem 2.1. Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \epsilon \exp\left\{C_0^2 M_0\right\} \leq C_0^{-1}\delta.$$

So from now on our main goal will be to show the two *a priori* differential inequalities mentioned above. The highest order one will be done in section 5 and the lower order one will be done in section 6. Since we can make C_0 arbitrarily large and δ arbitrarily small, we will always assume that $\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll 1$ in the remaining of this paper. We often use the fact that $\|\nabla X\|_{L^\infty} \leq 3$ since $\|\nabla X - I\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Similarly, by (2.3), the above is also applied for $(\nabla X)^{-1}$.

3 Preliminaries

In this section we derive several weighted $L^\infty - L^2$ type decay in time estimates. We remark that the idea of part of the proofs are basically appeared in the earlier work [27]. The new weighted L^2 energy $\mathcal{X}$ makes the proofs slightly different. For a self-contained presentation, we still include their proofs below.

We shall need apply the Littlewood-Paley theory. Let ϕ be a smooth function supported in $\{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \frac{3}{4} \leq \tau \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$ such that

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi(2^{-j}\tau) = 1.$$

For $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we set

$$\Delta_j f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(\phi(2^{-j}|\xi|)\mathcal{F}(f)\big)$$

and

$$S_j f = \sum_{-\infty<k\leq j-1,\ k\in\mathbb{Z}} \Delta_k f.$$

Here $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the usual Fourier transformation and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ the inverse Fourier transformation.

The following lemma takes care of the decay properties of L^∞ norm of derivative of unknowns. It shows that the L^∞ norm of derivative of unknowns will decay in time at least as $\langle t\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. This can be improved a little bit to get an extra factor $\langle t-r\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ near the light cone region $\langle t\rangle/2 \leq r \leq 3\langle t\rangle/2$. By a refined Sobolev imbedding inequality, one can even improve the decay rate in time to be $\langle t\rangle^{-1}\ln^{\frac{1}{2}}(e+t)$ in the space-time region away from the light cone (we remark that in this paper we don't need the full strength of the estimate in (3.2)). This will be used to break the criticality of lower-order generalized energy estimate in the space-time region $|r-t| \geq \frac{\langle t\rangle}{2}$. It also exhibits that the lack of Lorentz invariance only leads to a loss of time decay of $\ln^{\frac{1}{2}}(e+t)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $t \geq 4$. Then there holds*

$$\langle r\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}|D\Gamma^{\alpha}Y| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{|\alpha|+3}. \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, for $r \leq 2\langle t\rangle/3$, or $r \geq 5\langle t\rangle/4$, there holds

$$\langle t\rangle|D\Gamma^{\alpha}Y| \lesssim \big(\mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{|\alpha|+1} + \mathcal{X}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{|\alpha|+3}\big)\ln^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(e+t\big). \tag{3.2}$$

For $\langle t\rangle/3 \leq r \leq 5\langle t\rangle/2$, there holds

$$\langle r\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle t-r\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}|D\Gamma^{\alpha}Y| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{|\alpha|+2} + \mathcal{X}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{|\alpha|+3}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. First of all, by Sobolev imbedding $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, (3.1) is automatically true for $r \leq 1$. By Sobolev imbedding on sphere $H^1(\mathbb{S}^1) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1)$, one has

$$|f(r\omega)|^2 \lesssim \sum_{|\beta|\leq 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}|\Omega^\beta f(r\omega)|^2 d\sigma.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
r|f(r\omega)|^2 &\lesssim \sum_{|\beta|\leq 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} r|\Omega^\beta f(r\omega)|^2 d\sigma\\
&= -\sum_{|\beta|\leq 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} d\sigma\int_r^\infty r\partial_\rho[|\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)|^2]d\rho\\
&= -\sum_{|\beta|\leq 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} d\sigma\int_r^\infty r2\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)\partial_\rho\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)d\rho\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|\beta|\leq 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}\int_r^\infty \rho|\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)||\partial_\rho\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)|\rho d\rho d\sigma\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|\beta|\leq 1}\|\partial_r\Omega^\beta f\|_{L^2}\|\Omega^\beta f\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (3.1) follows by taking $f = D\Gamma^\alpha Y$ in the above estimate.

Next, by the following well-known Bernstein inequality:

$$\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^j\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2}, \quad \|S_j f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^j\|S_j f\|_{L^2},$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^\infty} &= \|\sum_j f_j\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\lesssim 2^{-N}\|S_{-N}f\|_{L^2} + \sum_{-N\leq j\leq N}2^j\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2} + \sum_{j\geq N+1}2^j\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim 2^{-N}\|f\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{2N}\|\nabla f\|_{L^2} + 2^{-N}\|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $N = \frac{\ln(e+t)}{\ln 2}$, one has

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla f\|_{L^2} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(e+t\big) + \frac{1}{1+t}\big(\|f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^2}\big). \tag{3.4}$$

Let us first choose a radial cutoff function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ which satisfies

$$\varphi = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \frac{3}{4} \le r \le \frac{6}{5} \\ 0, & \text{if } r < \frac{2}{3} \text{ or } r > \frac{5}{4} \end{cases}, \quad |\nabla\varphi| \le 100.$$

For each fixed $t \ge 4$, let $\varphi^t(y) = \varphi(y/\langle t\rangle)$. Clearly, one has

$$\varphi^t(y) \equiv 1 \ \text{ for } \frac{3\langle t\rangle}{4} \le r \le \frac{6\langle t\rangle}{5}, \quad \varphi^t(y) \equiv 0 \ \text{ for } r \le \frac{2\langle t\rangle}{3} \text{ or } r \ge \frac{5\langle t\rangle}{4}$$

and

$$|\nabla\varphi^t(y)| \le 100\langle t\rangle^{-1}.$$

Consequently, for $r \le 2\langle t\rangle/3$, or $r \ge 5\langle t\rangle/4$, by using (3.4), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle t\rangle|f| &\lesssim \langle t\rangle\|(1-\varphi^t)f\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\lesssim \langle t\rangle\|\nabla[(1-\varphi^t)f]\|_{L^2}\ln^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(e+t\big) + \|(1-\varphi^t)f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2[(1-\varphi^t)f]\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \big(\|f\|_{L^2} + \langle t\rangle\|(1-\varphi^t)\nabla f\|_{L^2}\big)\ln^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(e+t\big) \\
&\quad + \|f\|_{L^2} + \|(1-\varphi^t)\nabla^2 f\|_{L^2} + \langle t\rangle^{-1}\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}\nabla f\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use 1_Ω to denote the characteristic function of Ω. Note that the weight in the definition of $\mathcal{X}_{|\alpha|}(Y)$ is equivalent to $\langle t\rangle$ on the support of $1-\varphi^t(y)$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle t\rangle|D\Gamma^\alpha Y| &\lesssim \big(\|D\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2} + \|\langle t\rangle(1-\varphi^t)\nabla D\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}\big)\ln^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(e+t\big) \\
&\quad + \|D\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2} + \|(1-\varphi^t)\nabla^2 D\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2} + \langle t\rangle^{-1}\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}\nabla D\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2} \\
&\lesssim \big(\mathcal{E}_{|\alpha|+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{X}_{|\alpha|+3}^{\frac{1}{2}}\big)\ln^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(e+t\big).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (3.2).

It remains to prove (3.3). Let us first consider the case when $r \ge 1$. Similarly as proving (3.1), we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
r\langle t-r\rangle|f(r\omega)|^2 &\lesssim r\langle t-r\rangle\sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}|\Omega^\beta f(r\omega)|^2 d\sigma \\
&= -\sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} d\sigma\int_r^\infty r\partial_\rho[\langle t-\rho\rangle|\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)|^2]d\rho \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} d\sigma\int_r^\infty [\langle t-\rho\rangle|\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)||\partial_r\Omega^\beta f(\rho)| + |\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)|^2]\rho d\rho \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} d\sigma\int_r^\infty [\langle t-\rho\rangle^2|\partial_r\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)|^2 + |\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)|^2]\rho d\rho \\
&= \sum_{|\beta|\le 1}[\|\langle t-r\rangle\partial_r\Omega^\beta f\|_{L^2} + \|\Omega^\beta f\|_{L^2}]^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Slightly changing the definition of φ^t and then taking $f = \varphi^t D\Gamma^\alpha Y$ in the above inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} r\langle t-r\rangle|\varphi^t D\Gamma^\alpha Y|^2 &\lesssim \sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\big(\|\langle t-r\rangle\partial_r\Omega^\beta[\varphi^t D\Gamma^\alpha Y]\|_{L^2}+\|\Omega^\beta D\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}\big)^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\|\varphi^t\langle t-r\rangle\partial_r\Omega^\beta[D\Gamma^\alpha Y]\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad+\sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\|\partial_r\varphi^t\langle t-r\rangle\Omega^\beta[D\Gamma^\alpha Y]\|_{L^2}^2+\mathcal{E}_{|\alpha|+2}^{\frac12} \end{aligned}$$

which yields (3.3). Here we used the fact that Ω commutes with φ^t due to the symmetry of φ^t.

For $r\le 1$, it suffices to prove that

$$\langle t\rangle^{\frac12}|D\Gamma^\alpha Y|\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{|\alpha|+2}^{\frac12}+\mathcal{X}_{|\alpha|+3}^{\frac12},$$

but which directly follows from (3.2). □

Now let us study the decay properties of the second order derivatives of unknowns under L^∞ norm. The following lemma shows that away from the light cone, the second derivatives of unknowns decay in time like $\langle t\rangle^{-1}$. But near the light cone, the decay rate is only $\langle t\rangle^{-\frac12}$, with an extra factor $\langle t-r\rangle^{-1}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $t\ge 4$. Then for $r\le 2\langle t\rangle/3$, or $r\ge 5\langle t\rangle/4$, there hold*

$$\langle t\rangle|D^2\Gamma^\alpha Y|\lesssim \mathcal{X}_{|\alpha|+4}^{\frac12}. \tag{3.5}$$

For $r\le\frac{5\langle t\rangle}{2}$, there holds

$$\langle r\rangle^{\frac12}\langle t-r\rangle|D^2\Gamma^\alpha Y|\lesssim \mathcal{X}_{|\alpha|+4}^{\frac12}+\mathcal{E}_{|\alpha|+3}^{\frac12}, \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Let us use the cutoff function φ^t in Lemma 3.1. Note that the weight in the definition of $\mathcal{X}_{|\alpha|+4}^{\frac12}(Y)$ is equivalent to $\langle t\rangle$ on the support of $1-\varphi^t(x)$. Thus, by applying the simple Sobolev imbedding $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle t\rangle|D^2\Gamma^\alpha Y| &\lesssim \langle t\rangle\|\big(1-\varphi^t(y)\big)D^2\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad+\langle t\rangle\|\big(1-\varphi^t(y)\big)\nabla^2 D^2\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad+\langle t\rangle\|\nabla\big(1-\varphi^t(y)\big)\nabla D^2\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad+\langle t\rangle\|\nabla^2\big(1-\varphi^t(y)\big)D^2\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{X}_{|\alpha|+4}^{\frac12}+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{X}_{|\alpha|+3}^{\frac12}+\langle t\rangle^{-2}\mathcal{X}_{|\alpha|+2}^{\frac12} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{X}_{|\alpha|+4}^{\frac12}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.5).

Next, let us prove (3.6). For $r \le 1$, (3.6) is an immediate consequence of (3.5). We consider the case when $r \ge 1$. Similarly as in proving (3.3), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&r\langle t-r\rangle^2|f(r\omega)|^2 \lesssim r\langle t-r\rangle^2 \sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}|\Omega^\beta f(r\omega)|^2 d\sigma\\
&= -\sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} d\sigma \int_r^\infty r\partial_\rho[\langle t-\rho\rangle^2|\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)|^2]d\rho\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} d\sigma \int_r^\infty \big[\langle t-\rho\rangle^2|\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)||\partial_r\Omega^\beta f(\rho)| + \langle t-\rho\rangle|\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)|^2\big]\rho d\rho\\
&\lesssim \sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} d\sigma \int_r^\infty \big[\langle t-\rho\rangle^2|\partial_r\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)|^2 + \langle t-\rho\rangle^2|\Omega^\beta f(\rho\omega)|^2\big]\rho d\rho\\
&= \sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\big[\|\langle t-r\rangle\partial_r\Omega^\beta f\|_{L^2} + \|\langle t-r\rangle\Omega^\beta f\|_{L^2}\big]^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us choose another cutoff function $\widetilde{\varphi}\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ which is radial and satisfies

$$\widetilde{\varphi} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r\le \frac{5}{2}\\ 0, & \text{if } r>3\end{cases}, \quad |\nabla\widetilde{\varphi}|\le 3.$$

For each fixed $t\ge 4$, let $\widetilde{\varphi}^t(y) = \widetilde{\varphi}(y/\langle t\rangle)$. Clearly, one has

$$\widetilde{\varphi}^t(y)\equiv 1 \ \text{ for } r\le \frac{5\langle t\rangle}{2}, \quad \widetilde{\varphi}^t(y)\equiv 0 \ \text{ for } r\ge 3\langle t\rangle$$

and

$$|\nabla\widetilde{\varphi}^t(y)|\le 3\langle t\rangle^{-1}.$$

Taking $f=\widetilde{\varphi}^t D^2\Gamma^\alpha Y$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
r\langle t-r\rangle^2|\widetilde{\varphi}^t D^2\Gamma^\alpha Y|^2 \ &\lesssim \ \sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\|\langle t-r\rangle\widetilde{\varphi}^t\partial_r\Omega^\beta D^2\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + \sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\|\langle t-r\rangle\partial_r\widetilde{\varphi}^t\Omega^\beta D^2\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + \sum_{|\beta|\le 1}\|\langle t-r\rangle\widetilde{\varphi}^t\Omega^\beta D^2\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\lesssim \ \|\langle t-r\rangle\widetilde{\varphi}^t D^2\Gamma^{|\alpha|+2}Y\|_{L^2}^2 + \|1_{\mathrm{supp}\widetilde{\varphi}^t}D^2\Gamma^{|\alpha|+1}Y\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives (3.6) for $r\ge 1$. □

The next lemma gives a preliminary estimate for the weighted L^2 generalized energy norm $\mathcal{X}_\kappa$. We remark that the definition of $\mathcal{X}_\kappa$ here is different from the original one appeared in [20] and [37]. We also remark that in (3.8), r can be even larger than $2\langle t\rangle$.

Lemma 3.3. *There holds*

$$\mathcal{X}_2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \langle t\rangle \|(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)Y\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. First of all, one may use the decomposition for gradient operator

$$\nabla = \omega\partial_r + \frac{\omega^\perp}{r}\partial_\theta$$

and the expression of Laplacian in polar coordinate

$$\Delta = \partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r}\partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2}\partial_\theta^2$$

to derive that

$$|\Delta Y - \partial_r^2 Y| \leq \frac{|\partial_r Y|}{r} + \frac{|\partial_\theta^2 Y|}{r^2} \lesssim \frac{|\nabla Y| + |\nabla\Omega Y|}{r}. \tag{3.7}$$

Let us further write

$$\begin{aligned}(t^2 - r^2)\Delta Y &= -t^2(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)Y - r^2(\Delta Y - \partial_r^2 Y) + t^2\partial_t^2 Y - r^2\partial_r^2 Y \\ &= -t^2(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)Y - r^2(\Delta Y - \partial_r^2 Y) \\ &\quad + (t\partial_t - r\partial_r)(t\partial_t + r\partial_r - 1)Y.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (3.7), one has

$$\begin{aligned}|(t-r)\Delta Y| &\lesssim t|(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)Y| + r|\Delta Y - \partial_r^2 Y| + |DSY| + |DY| \\ &\lesssim |D\Gamma Y| + |DY| + t|(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)Y|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Next, using (3.8) and integration by parts, one immediately has

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(t-r)\partial_i\partial_j Y\|_{L^2}^2 = \int (t-r)^2\partial_i\partial_j Y\partial_i\partial_j Y dy \\ &= 2\int (t-r)\omega_i\partial_j Y\partial_i\partial_j Y dy - \int (t-r)^2\partial_j Y\Delta\partial_j Y dy \\ &= 2\int (t-r)\omega_i\partial_j Y\partial_i\partial_j Y dy - 2\int (t-r)\omega_j\partial_j Y\Delta Y dy \\ &\quad + \int (t-r)^2\Delta Y\Delta Y dy \\ &\leq 10\|\nabla Y\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|(t-r)\partial_i\partial_j Y\|_{L^2}^2 + \int (t-r)^2\Delta Y\Delta Y dy.\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.8), one obtains that

$$\|(t-r)\partial_i\partial_j Y\|_{L^2} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + \langle t\rangle\|(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)Y\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.9}$$

To estimate $|(t-r)\partial_t\nabla Y|$, let us first write

$$\begin{aligned}(t-r)\partial_t\partial_r Y &= -(\partial_t-\partial_r)(t\partial_t+r\partial_r-1)Y+t\partial_t^2Y-r\partial_r^2Y\\ &= -(\partial_t-\partial_r)(t\partial_t+r\partial_r-1)Y+t(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y\\ &\quad +(t-r)\Delta Y+r(\Delta Y-\partial_r^2Y).\end{aligned}$$

Then using (3.7) and (3.8), one has

$$|(t-r)\partial_t\partial_r Y|\lesssim |D\Gamma Y|+|DY|+t|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y|. \tag{3.10}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|(t-r)\partial_t\partial_j Y| &= \big|(t-r)\partial_t\omega_j\partial_r Y+(t-r)r^{-1}\omega_j^{\perp}\partial_t\partial_\theta Y\big|\\ &\le |(t-r)\omega_j\partial_t\partial_r Y|+\big|r^{-1}(t\partial_t+r\partial_r)\partial_\theta Y-\partial_\theta(\partial_t+\partial_r)Y\big|\\ &\lesssim |(t-r)\omega_j\partial_t\partial_r Y|+r^{-1}|\partial_\theta SY|+|\partial_\theta\partial_t Y|+|\partial_\theta(\omega\cdot\nabla Y)|\\ &\lesssim |(t-r)\omega_j\partial_t\partial_r Y|+r^{-1}|\partial_\theta SY|+|\partial_\theta\partial_t Y|\\ &\quad +|\omega^{\perp}\cdot\nabla Y|+|\omega\cdot\partial_\theta\nabla Y|\\ &\lesssim |D\Gamma Y|+|DY|+t|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y|,\end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\|(t-r)\partial_t\partial_j Y\|_{L^2}\lesssim \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac12}+\langle t\rangle\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.11}$$

At last, using (3.8), one has

$$\begin{aligned}|(t-r)\partial_t^2Y| &\le \big|(t-r)(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y\big|+|(t-r)\Delta Y|\\ &\lesssim |(t-r)(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y|+|D\Gamma Y|+|DY|+t|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y|\\ &\lesssim |D\Gamma Y|+|DY|+\langle t\rangle|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y|\end{aligned}$$

if $r\le 2\langle t\rangle$, and

$$\langle t\rangle|\partial_t^2Y|\le \langle t\rangle|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y\big|+\langle t\rangle|\Delta Y|$$

if $t>2\langle t\rangle$. Hence, by (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{r\le 2\langle t\rangle}\langle t-r\rangle^2|\partial_t^2Y|^2dy+\int_{r>2\langle t\rangle}\langle t\rangle^2|\partial_t^2Y|^2dy \\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^2\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y\|_{L^2}^2+\mathcal{E}_2^{\frac12}(Y)+\int_{r>2\langle t\rangle}\langle t\rangle^2|\Delta Y|^2dy\\ &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^2\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y\|_{L^2}^2+\mathcal{E}_2^{\frac12}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Then the lemma follows from (3.9), (3.11) and (3.12).

□

At the end of this section, let us estimate good derivatives $\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j$.

Lemma 3.4. *For $\frac{\langle t\rangle}{3}\le r\le\frac{5\langle t\rangle}{2}$, there holds*

$$\langle t\rangle|\omega_j\partial_t DY+\partial_j DY|\lesssim|\nabla Y|+|\nabla\Gamma Y|+t|(\partial_t^2-\Delta Y)|.$$

Proof. First, let us calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}&t(\partial_t+\partial_r)(\partial_t-\partial_r)Y\\&=t(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y+t(\Delta-\partial_r^2)Y\\&=t(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y+\frac{t}{r}\big(\partial_r Y+\frac{\partial_\theta^2 Y}{r}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&t|(\partial_t+\partial_r)(\partial_t-\partial_r)Y|\\&\le t|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y|+\frac{t}{r}\big(|\nabla Y|+\frac{|(x_i\partial_j-x_j\partial_i)\partial_\theta Y|}{r}\big)\\&\lesssim t|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y|+\frac{t}{r}\big(|\nabla Y|+|\nabla\Omega Y|\big).\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

Next, using (3.7), (3.8), (3.10) and (3.13), we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}&t|(\partial_t+\partial_r)\partial_r Y|\\&\le\frac{t}{2}|(\partial_t+\partial_r)(\partial_t-\partial_r)Y|+\frac{t}{2}|(\partial_t+\partial_r)(\partial_t+\partial_r)Y|\\&\lesssim\frac{t}{2}|(\partial_t+\partial_r)(\partial_t-\partial_r)Y|+\frac{1}{2}|S(\partial_t+\partial_r)Y|+\frac{1}{2}|(t-r)\partial_r(\partial_t+\partial_r)Y|\\&\lesssim\frac{t}{2}|(\partial_t+\partial_r)(\partial_t-\partial_r)Y|+\frac{1}{2}|S(\partial_t+\partial_r)Y|\\&\quad+\frac{1}{2}|(t-r)\partial_{tr}^2Y|+\frac{1}{2}|(t-r)(\Delta-\partial_r^2)Y|+\frac{1}{2}|(t-r)\Delta Y|\\&\lesssim t|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y|+(1+\frac{t}{r})\big(|\nabla Y|+|\nabla\Gamma Y|\big).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&t|(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\partial_k Y|\\&=\big|t\omega_j(\partial_t+\partial_r)\partial_k Y+\frac{t}{r}\omega_j^\perp\partial_\theta\partial_k Y\big|\\&\lesssim|t(\partial_t+\partial_r)\partial_r Y|+\frac{t}{r}\big(|\partial_\theta\partial_k Y|+|\partial_r\partial_\theta Y|\big)\\&\lesssim t|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y|+(1+\frac{t}{r})\big(|\nabla Y|+|\nabla\Gamma Y|\big).\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

At last, let us calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}t|(\partial_t+\partial_r)\partial_t Y|\ &\le\ t|(\partial_t+\partial_r)(\partial_t-\partial_r)Y|+t|(\partial_t+\partial_r)\partial_r Y|\\&\le\ t|(\partial_t+\partial_r)(\partial_t-\partial_r)Y|+t|\omega_j\omega_k(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\partial_k Y|\\&\quad+t|\omega_j\partial_j\omega_k\partial_k Y|\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.13) and (3.14) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} & t|(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\partial_t Y| \\ & = t\big|(\partial_t+\partial_r)\partial_t Y + r^{-1}\partial_\theta\partial_t Y\big| \\ & \lesssim t|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)Y| + (1+\frac{t}{r})\big(|\nabla Y|+|\nabla\Gamma Y|\big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Then the lemma follows from (3.14) and (3.15). □

4 Estimate of the L^2 Weighted Norm

Now we are going to estimate the L^2 weighted generalized energy $\mathcal{X}_\kappa$. First of all, we prove the following lemma which says that the L^2 norm of $\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p$ and $\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}$ which involve the $(|\alpha|+2)$-th order derivatives of unknowns can be bounded by certain matters which only involve $(|\alpha|+1)$-th order derivatives of unknowns. This surprising result is based on the inherent special structures of nonlinearities in the system.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $\|\nabla Y\|_{L^\infty}\le\delta$ for some absolutely positive constant $\delta<1$. Then there holds*

$$\|\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p\|_{L^2}+\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}\lesssim\Pi(|\alpha|+2)$$

provided that δ is small enough, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(|\alpha|+2) = & \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha}\big\||\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y||(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y|\big\|_{L^2} \\ & + \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|}\Pi_1+\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|\ge|\gamma|}\Pi_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where Π_1 and Π_2 are given by

$$\Pi_1=\big\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac12}\nabla\cdot\big(\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2-\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big)\big\|_{L^2}, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\Pi_2=\big\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac12}\nabla\cdot\big(\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\big)\big\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. By (2.11), one has

$$-\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p=(\nabla X)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y+\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha}(\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y.$$

Applying the divergence operator to the above equation and then applying the operator $\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla\Gamma^\alpha p \ = & \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha}\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla\cdot[(\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y)] \\ & +\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla\cdot[(\nabla Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y] \\ & +\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla\cdot[(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the fact that the Riesz operator is bounded in L^2, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p\| \lesssim & \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha}\|(\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y)\|_{L^2} \\ & +\|(\nabla Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla\cdot(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Here we kept the Riesz operator in the last quantity on the right hand side of the above estimate, which needs further treatments using the fantastic inherent structures of the system.

First of all, using (2.12), we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla\cdot(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y \\ &=(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big[\partial_1\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_1\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2\Gamma^\beta Y^2\big] \\ &=\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big[\partial_1(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_1\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\beta Y^2\big] \\ &\quad+\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big[\partial_1\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_1(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2\Gamma^\beta Y^2\big] \\ &\quad+2\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big[\partial_1\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_1\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2\big] \\ &\quad-2\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big[\partial_1\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_1\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2\big].\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Noting the inherent cancellation relation, one sees that the first two terms on the right hand side of (4.5) can be reorganized to be

$$\nabla\cdot\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big[(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\nabla^\perp\Gamma^\beta Y^2-(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\big]. \tag{4.6}$$

We still need to take care of the last two terms on the right hand side of (4.5). We first divide them into three parts:

$$A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{13},$$

where A_{11} in the portion of the summation in which $|\beta|=|\gamma|$:

$$\begin{aligned}A_{11} &= 2\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|=|\gamma|}\big[\partial_1\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_1\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2\big] \\ &\quad-2\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|=|\gamma|}\big[\partial_1\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_1\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2\big] \\ &= -2\nabla\cdot\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|=|\gamma|}\big[\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\big],\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

A_{12} is responsible for the terms involving time derivatives in the summation when $|\beta| \neq |\gamma|$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{12} &= 2\Big(\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|} + \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|<|\gamma|}\Big)\partial_1\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1 \\ &\quad - 2\Big(\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|} + \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|<|\gamma|}\Big)\partial_1\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2, \end{aligned}$$

and A_{13} is the portion in the summation when $|\beta| \neq |\gamma|$ which only involves spatial derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{13} &= 2\Big(\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|} + \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|<|\gamma|}\Big)\partial_1\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2 \\ &\quad - 2\Big(\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|} + \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|<|\gamma|}\Big)\partial_1\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $|\alpha|$ is odd, then $A_{11} = 0$.

By symmetry of β and γ, we can rewrite A_{12} and A_{13} as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{12} &= 2\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|}\big(\partial_1\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1 + \partial_1\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\partial_2\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\big) \\ &\quad - 2\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|}\big(\partial_1\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2 + \partial_1\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\partial_2\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_{13} &= 2\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|}\big(\partial_1\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2 + \partial_1\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\partial_2\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big) \\ &\quad - 2\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|}\big(\partial_1\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1 + \partial_1\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\partial_2\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\big). \end{aligned}$$

By merging the first and third terms, the second and the last terms respectively, we further rewrite A_{12} and A_{13} as follows:

$$A_{12} = 2\nabla\cdot\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|}\big(-\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1 + \partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big),$$

and

$$A_{13} = 2\nabla\cdot\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|}\big(\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1 - \partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big).$$

Now it is clear that we may add up the above two identities and figure out the contribution of A_{12} and A_{13} to (4.6), which is

$$\begin{aligned} &A_{12} + A_{13} \\ &= 2\nabla\cdot\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|}\big[\big(\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2 - \partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big) \\ &\quad + \big(\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1 - \partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\big)\big]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Let us insert (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) into (4.5) to derive that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\nabla(-\Delta)\nabla\cdot(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}\\&\lesssim\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\nabla^\perp\Gamma^\beta Y^2-(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\big\|_{L^2}\\&\quad+\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|>|\gamma|}\Pi_1+\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\beta|\geq|\gamma|}\Pi_2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

Here Π_1 and Π_2 are given in (4.2) and (4.3). We emphasis that in the expressions for Π_1 and Π_2 we still kept the zero order Riesz operator. A rude estimate by removing them directly is not enough to take the full advantage of the structure of the system, which may only lead to an almost global existence result and recovers what we already proved in [27] by a different method. Now let us insert (4.9) into (4.4) to derive that

$$\|\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p\|_{L^2}\lesssim\|\nabla Y\|_{L^\infty}\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}+\Pi(|\alpha|+2),\tag{4.10}$$

where $\Pi(|\alpha|+2)$ is given in (4.1). Using the equation (2.11) and (4.10), one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}\\&\lesssim\|\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p\|_{L^2}+\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha}\|(\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^2}\\&\lesssim\|\nabla Y\|_{L^\infty}\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}+\Pi(|\alpha|+2),\end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y\|_{L^2}\lesssim\Pi(|\alpha|+2)\tag{4.11}$$

provided that $\|\nabla Y\|_{L^\infty}$ is appropriately smaller than an absolute positive constant $\delta<1$. Inserting (4.11) into (4.10), one also has

$$\|\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p\|_{L^2}\lesssim\Pi(|\alpha|+2).$$

We have proved the lemma. □

In the next lemma, we will use Lemma 4.1 to estimate the main source of nonlinearities in (2.11) by carefully dealing with the last two terms in (4.1). On the right hand sides of those estimates that we are going to prove, we did not gain derivatives since the both sides are of the same order. But what we gain is the time decay rate. In section 5 when we performing higher order energy estimate, we will deal with the last two terms in (4.1) once again, in a different way. The purpose there is to gain one derivative, with the price of slowing down the decay rate in time.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $\kappa\geq 10$. There exists $\delta>0$ such that if $\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}\leq\delta$, then there hold*

$$\langle t\rangle\|\nabla\Gamma^{\kappa-4}p\|_{L^2}+\langle t\rangle\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{\kappa-4}Y\|_{L^2}\lesssim\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\big(\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}+\mathcal{X}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\big)$$

and

$$\langle t\rangle\|\nabla\Gamma^{\kappa-2}p\|_{L^2}+\langle t\rangle\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{\kappa-2}Y\|_{L^2}\lesssim\mathcal{E}_{\kappa}^{\frac12}\big(\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}+\mathcal{X}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\big).$$

Proof. We need deal with the two quantities in (4.2) and (4.3). They can be estimated in a similar way. Below we only present the estimate for Π_1 in (4.2). We first deal with the integrals away from the light cone. Let ϕ^t be defined in Lemma 3.1. It is easy to have the following first step estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\gamma|\le[|\alpha|/2]} &\Big(\big\|\big(|\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2-\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2|\\ &\quad+|\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1|\big)(1-\phi^t)\big\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\gamma|\le[|\alpha|/2]}\|D\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}\|1_{\mathrm{supp}(1-\varphi^t)}D^2\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.2, the above is bounded by

$$\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}^{\frac12}_{|\alpha|+1}\mathcal{X}^{\frac12}_{[|\alpha|/2]+4}.$$

Hence the estimate (4.1) is improved to be:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(|\alpha|+2)\lesssim\ &\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}^{\frac12}_{|\alpha|+1}\mathcal{X}^{\frac12}_{[|\alpha|/2]+4}\\ &+\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha}\big\||\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y||(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y|\big\|_{L^2}\\ &+\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\gamma|\le[|\alpha|/2]}\big(\Pi_1(\varphi^t)+\Pi_2(\varphi^t)\big),\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

where

$$\Pi_1(\varphi^t)=\big\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac12}\nabla\cdot\big[\varphi^t\big(\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2-\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big)\big]\big\|_{L^2}$$

and

$$\Pi_2(\varphi^t)=\big\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac12}\nabla\cdot\big[\varphi^t\big(\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\big)\big]\big\|_{L^2}.$$

We will still need the use of this estimate in section 5.

Now let us deal with the third line of (4.12). First of all, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla\cdot\big(\phi^t\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2-\phi^t\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big)\\ &=\nabla\cdot\big(\phi^t\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp[\omega_j(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\gamma Y^2]\\ &\quad-\phi^t\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big)\\ &\quad+\nabla\cdot\big(\phi^t\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp(\omega_j\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2)-\phi^t\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp[\omega_j\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2]\big).\end{aligned}$$

The last line on the right hand side of the above equality can be rewritten as

$$\nabla^\perp\cdot\big(\omega_j\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\nabla(\phi^t\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1)-\omega_j\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\nabla(\phi^t\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1)\big),$$

which can be further re-organized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla^\perp\cdot\big((\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\nabla(\phi^t\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1)-\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\nabla\phi^t(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y^1\\
&\quad-\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\phi^t(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y^1\big)\\
&=\nabla\cdot\big(\phi^t\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big)\\
&\quad-\nabla^\perp\cdot\big(\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\phi^t(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y^1\big)\\
&\quad-\nabla^\perp\cdot\big(\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\nabla\phi^t(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y^1\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla\cdot\big(\phi^t\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2-\phi^t\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big) \qquad (4.13)\\
&=\nabla\cdot\big(\phi^t\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp[\omega_j(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\gamma Y^2]\\
&\quad-\phi^t\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\gamma Y^2+\phi^t\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big)\\
&\quad-\nabla^\perp\cdot\big(\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\phi^t(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y^1+\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\nabla\phi^t(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y^1\big).
\end{aligned}$$

The expression in (4.13) will be rewritten as a different form in section 5 for a different purpose.

Now we are ready to estimate the third line on the right hand side of (4.12) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac12}\nabla\cdot\big[\varphi^t\big(\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2-\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big)\big]\big\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim\|D\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\nabla\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\quad+\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}D\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}D\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}\|D\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We first use Lemma 3.4 to bound the quantities on the right hand side of the above estimate by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle t\rangle^{-1}\|D\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}\big(\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}+\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}D\Gamma^{|\gamma|+1}Y\|_{L^\infty}\big)\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}D\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}\big(\|\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}+\|D\Gamma^{|\beta|+1}Y\|_{L^2}\big)\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}D\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}\|D\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, noting $|\gamma|\le[|\alpha|/2]$ and using (3.1) in Lemma 3.1 (slightly changing its proof by using the cutoff function φ^t to keep the wave operator $\partial_t^2-\Delta$), one can estimate the third line on the right hand side of (4.12) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,|\gamma|\le[|\alpha|/2]}\Pi_1(\varphi^t)&\lesssim\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}\mathcal{E}^{\frac12}_{[|\alpha|/2]+4}\mathcal{E}^{\frac12}_{|\alpha|+2} \qquad (4.14)\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}\mathcal{E}^{\frac12}_{|\alpha|+1}\|\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{[|\alpha|/2]+2}Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}\mathcal{E}^{\frac12}_{[|\alpha|/2]+3}\|\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{|\alpha|}Y\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

As we have already mentioned, the last line on the right hand side of (4.12) can be bounded similarly.

It remains to estimate the second line in (4.12). Using Sobolev imbedding $H^2 \hookrightarrow L^\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha} \big\||\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y||(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y|\big\|_{L^2} \qquad (4.15)\\
&\lesssim \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ |\gamma|\le[|\alpha|/2]} \|\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\quad+\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ [|\alpha|/2]<|\gamma|<|\alpha|} \|\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^\infty}\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{|\alpha|+1}^{\frac12}\|\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{[|\alpha|/2]+2}Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{[|\alpha|/2]+3}^{\frac12}\|\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{|\alpha|-1}Y\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now insert (4.15) and (4.14) into (4.12) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(|\alpha|+2) &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{|\alpha|+2}^{\frac12}\big(\mathcal{E}_{[|\alpha|/2]+4}^{\frac12}+\mathcal{X}_{[|\alpha|/2]+4}^{\frac12}\big) \qquad (4.16)\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{|\alpha|+1}^{\frac12}\|\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{[|\alpha|/2]+2}Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{[|\alpha|/2]+3}^{\frac12}\|\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{|\alpha|}Y\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Now for $\kappa\ge 10$ and $|\alpha|\le\kappa-4$, one has $|\alpha|+2\le\kappa-2$ and $[|\alpha|/2]+4\le\kappa-3$. Hence, by (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(\kappa-2) &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\big(\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-3}^{\frac12}+\mathcal{X}_{\kappa-3}^{\frac12}\big)\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-3}^{\frac12}\|\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{\kappa-4}Y\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above inequality into the estimate in Lemma 4.1 and noting that $\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}(Y)\le\delta$, one has

$$\langle t\rangle\|\nabla\Gamma^{\kappa-4}p\|_{L^2}+\langle t\rangle\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{\kappa-4}Y\|_{L^2}\lesssim\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\big(\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}+\mathcal{X}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\big). \qquad (4.17)$$

This proves the first estimate in Lemma 4.2.

Next, for $|\alpha|\le\kappa-2$, there holds $[|\alpha|/2]+4\le\kappa-2$. Hence, one can derive from (4.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(\kappa) &\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa}^{\frac12}\big(\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}+\mathcal{X}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\big)\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa}^{\frac12}\|\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{\kappa-4}Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\|\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{\kappa-2}Y\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

which, combining (4.17) and Lemma 4.1 gives the second estimate in the lemma.

□

We are ready to state the following lemma:

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that $\kappa \geq 10$. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2} \leq \delta$, then there hold*

$$\mathcal{X}_{\kappa-2} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}, \quad \mathcal{X}_{\kappa} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{\kappa}.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.2, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{\kappa-2} &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \langle t\rangle \|(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)\Gamma^{\kappa-4} Y\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{X}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\big), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the first estimate of the lemma by noting the assumption.

Next, applying Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.2 once more, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{\kappa} &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{\kappa}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \langle t\rangle \|(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)\Gamma^{\kappa-2} Y\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{\kappa}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{E}_{\kappa}^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{X}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\big). \end{aligned}$$

Then the second estimate of the lemma follows from the first one and the assumption. □

5 Higher-order Energy Estimate

This section is devoted to the higher-order generalized energy estimate. We will see that the ghost weight method introduced by Alinhac in [2] plays an important role.

Let $\kappa \geq 10$ and $|\alpha| \leq \kappa - 1$. Let $\sigma = t - r$ and $q(\sigma) = \arctan \sigma$. Taking the L^2 inner product of (2.11) with $e^{-q(\sigma)}\partial_t \Gamma^\alpha Y$ and using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\int e^{-q(\sigma)}\big(|\partial_t \Gamma^\alpha Y|^2 + |\nabla \Gamma^\alpha Y|^2\big)dy \\ &= -\int \frac{e^{-q(\sigma)}}{1+\sigma^2}\big(|\partial_t \Gamma^\alpha Y|^2 + |\nabla \Gamma^\alpha Y|^2\big)dy \\ &\quad + 2\int e^{-q(\sigma)}\partial_t \Gamma^\alpha Y \cdot (\partial_t^2 - \Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y dy - 2\int \partial_j e^{-q(\sigma)}\partial_j \Gamma^\alpha Y \partial_t \Gamma^\alpha Y\big)dy \\ &= -\sum_j \int \frac{e^{-q(\sigma)}}{1+\sigma^2}|(\omega_j \partial_t + \partial_j)\Gamma^\alpha Y|^2 dy \\ &\quad - 2\int e^{-q(\sigma)}\partial_t \Gamma^\alpha Y \cdot \big[(\nabla X)^{-\top}\nabla \Gamma^\alpha p\big]dy \\ &\quad - 2\int e^{-q(\sigma)}\partial_t \Gamma^\alpha Y \cdot \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha} (\nabla X)^{-\top}(\nabla \Gamma^\beta Y)^\top (\partial_t^2 - \Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y dy, \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int e^{-q(\sigma)}\big(|\partial_t\Gamma^\alpha Y|^2+|\nabla\Gamma^\alpha Y|^2\big)dy \qquad (5.1)\\
&\quad+\sum_j\int\frac{e^{-q(\sigma)}}{1+\sigma^2}|(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\alpha Y|^2dy\\
&\lesssim\mathcal{E}_\kappa^{\frac12}\Big(\|\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p\|_{L^2}+\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha}\|(\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^2}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

At the first glance, we will always lose one derivative since $\|\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p\|_{L^2}$ contains the $|\alpha|+2$ derivatives of Y. Fortunately, we may modify the proof for Lemma 4.2 so that we have similar estimates but gain one derivative and at the same time, lose $\langle t\rangle^{-\frac12}$ decay rate. Moreover, whenever we lose $\langle t\rangle^{-\frac12}$ decay rate, we have a good derivative $\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j$. Then the ghost weight method of Alinhac enables us to take the advantage of null structure of nonlinearities when we perform highest order energy estimate. We emphasis that all of those calculations are based on the physical structures of the system.

We still use the estimate in (4.12), but we need refine the last line of (4.13) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla^\perp\cdot\big(\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\phi^t(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y^1+\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\nabla\phi^t(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y^1\big) \qquad (5.2)\\
&=\nabla^\perp\cdot\big(\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\nabla[\phi^t(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y^1]\big)\\
&\quad+\nabla^\perp\cdot\big(\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\phi^t\nabla\omega_j\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\big)\\
&=\nabla\cdot\big([\phi^t(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y^1]\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big)\\
&\quad+\nabla^\perp\cdot\big(\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\phi^t\nabla\omega_j\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing the last line in (4.13) by (5.2), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla\cdot\big(\phi^t\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2-\phi^t\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big) \qquad (5.3)\\
&=\nabla\cdot\Big(\phi^t\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp[\omega_j(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\gamma Y^2]\\
&\quad-\phi^t\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\gamma Y^2+\phi^t\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\\
&\quad-[\phi^t(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y^1]\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\Big)-\nabla^\perp\cdot\big(\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\phi^t\nabla\omega_j\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.3), we may re-estimate $\Pi_1(\varphi^t)$ in (4.12) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac12}\nabla\cdot\big[\varphi^t\big(\partial_t\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_t\Gamma^\gamma Y^2-\partial_j\Gamma^\beta Y^1\nabla^\perp\partial_j\Gamma^\gamma Y^2\big)\big]\big\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim\|D\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\nabla\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-\frac12}\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}\langle r\rangle^{\frac12}\langle t-r\rangle D^2\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}\|\langle t-r\rangle^{-1}(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}D\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}\|D\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.2, the above is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle t\rangle^{-1}\|D\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}\big(\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}+\|1_{\mathrm{supp}\varphi^t}D\Gamma^{|\gamma|+1}Y\|_{L^\infty}\big)\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-\frac12}\big(\mathcal{X}_{|\gamma|+4}+\mathcal{E}_{|\gamma|+3}\big)^{\frac12}\|\langle t-r\rangle^{-1}(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\|D\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}\|D\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $|\gamma|\le[|\alpha|/2]$. We further use Lemma 3.1 (again, we need modify the proof slightly by adding a cutoff function φ^t to keep the wave operator $\partial_t^2-\Delta$) and Lemma 4.3 to bound the above by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle t\rangle^{-\frac12}\mathcal{E}_{|\gamma|+4}^{\frac12}\|\langle t-r\rangle^{-1}(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}\mathcal{E}_{|\alpha|+1}^{\frac12}\|\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{[|\alpha|/2]+2}Y\|_{L^2}+\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}\mathcal{E}_{[|\alpha|/2]+4}^{\frac12}\mathcal{E}_{|\alpha|+1}^{\frac12}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $[|\alpha|/2]+4\le\kappa-2$, we can use Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 to bound the above quantities by

$$\langle t\rangle^{-\frac12}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\|\langle t-r\rangle^{-1}(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}+\langle t\rangle^{-\frac32}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\mathcal{E}_\kappa^{\frac12}. \tag{5.4}$$

Similarly, the last line in (4.12) can also be bounded by the quantity in (5.4). Consequently, we can derive by inserting (5.4) into (4.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p\|_{L^2}\lesssim&\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha}\big\||\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y||(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y|\big\|_{L^2}\\
&+\langle t\rangle^{-\frac12}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\|\langle t-r\rangle^{-1}(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\mathcal{E}_\kappa^{\frac12}.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above estimate into (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int e^{-q(\sigma)}\big(|\partial_t\Gamma^\alpha Y|^2+|\nabla\Gamma^\alpha Y|^2\big)dy \qquad (5.5)\\
&\quad+\int\frac{e^{-q(\sigma)}}{1+\sigma^2}\big(|\partial_t\Gamma^\alpha Y|^2+|\nabla\Gamma^\alpha Y|^2\big)dy\\
&\lesssim\mathcal{E}_\kappa^{\frac12}\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha}\|(\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-\frac12}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\mathcal{E}_\kappa^{\frac12}\|\langle t-r\rangle^{-1}(\omega_j\partial_t+\partial_j)\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}+\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\mathcal{E}_\kappa.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us estimate the remaining terms in (5.5). Using Lemma (3.1) and Lemma

4.2, it is easy to derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha} \|(\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ |\gamma|\le[|\alpha|/2]} \|\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^2}\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\quad+\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ |\beta|\le[|\alpha|/2],\gamma\neq\alpha} \|\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y\|_{L^\infty}\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim \mathcal{E}_\kappa^{\frac12}\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{\kappa-4}Y\|_{L^2}+\langle t\rangle^{-\frac12}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{\kappa-2}Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim \langle t\rangle^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{E}_\kappa^{\frac12}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}+\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}\mathcal{E}_\kappa^{\frac12}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above estimates into (5.5) and using Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{|\alpha|\le\kappa-1}\int e^{-q(\sigma)}\big(|\partial_t\Gamma^\alpha Y|^2+|\nabla\Gamma^\alpha Y|^2\big)dy\lesssim\langle t\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{E}_\kappa\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac12}. \tag{5.6}$$

This gives the first differential inequality at the end of section 2.

6 Lower-order Energy Estimate

In this section we perform the lower order energy estimate. Let $|\alpha|\le\kappa-3$. We rewrite (2.11) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\nabla X)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y+\nabla\Gamma^\alpha p\\
&=-\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha}(\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the curl operator to the above equation, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\nabla^\perp\cdot\Gamma^\alpha Y \;=\; &-\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ \gamma\neq\alpha}\nabla^\perp\cdot\big[(\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y\big]\\
&-\nabla^\perp\cdot\big[(\nabla Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\alpha Y\big].
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\partial_t^2-\Delta)(-\Delta)^{-\frac12}\nabla^\perp\cdot\Gamma^\alpha Y\\
&=\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}(-\Delta)^{-\frac12}\nabla^\perp\cdot\big[(\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y)^\top(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y\big].
\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

Multiplying (6.1) by $\partial_t(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^\perp\cdot\Gamma^\alpha Y$ and then integrating over $\mathbb{R}^2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int\big(\big|\partial_t(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^\perp\cdot\Gamma^\alpha Y\big|^2+\big|\nabla(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^\perp\cdot\Gamma^\alpha Y\big|^2\big)dy\\
&\lesssim\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big\|\partial_t(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^\perp\cdot\Gamma^\alpha Y\big\|_{L^2}\big\||\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y||(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y|\big\|_{L^2}\\
&\lesssim\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big\||\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y||(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y|\big\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us first use Lemma 3.1, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 to estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ |\beta|\le[|\alpha|/2]}\big\||\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y||(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y|\big\|_{L^2}\\
&\qquad\lesssim\|\nabla\Gamma^{[|\alpha|/2]}Y\|_{L^\infty}\|(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{|\alpha|}Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\qquad\lesssim\langle t\rangle^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\langle t\rangle(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{\kappa-2}Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\qquad\lesssim\langle t\rangle^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}\mathcal{E}_\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by $[|\alpha|/2]+4\le\kappa-2$, one also has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha,\ |\gamma|\le[|\alpha|/2]}\big\||\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y||(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^\gamma Y|\big\|_{L^2}\\
&\qquad\lesssim\|(1-\varphi^t)\nabla\Gamma^{\kappa-3}Y\|_{L^\infty}\|(1-\varphi^t)(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{[|\alpha|/2]}Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\qquad\quad+\|\varphi^t\nabla\Gamma^{\kappa-3}Y\|_{L^2}\|\varphi^t(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{[|\alpha|/2]}Y\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\qquad\lesssim\langle t\rangle^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\langle t\rangle(1-\varphi^t)(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{[|\alpha|/2]}Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\qquad\quad+\langle t\rangle^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\langle t\rangle\varphi^t(\partial_t^2-\Delta)\Gamma^{[|\alpha|/2]+2}Y\|_{L^2}\\
&\qquad\lesssim\langle t\rangle^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}\mathcal{E}_\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{|\alpha|\le\kappa-3}\int\big|D(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^\perp\cdot\Gamma^\alpha Y\big|^2dy\lesssim\langle t\rangle^{-3/2}\mathcal{E}_\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}.\tag{6.2}$$

Now let us estimate $(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\cdot D\Gamma^\alpha Y$. Using (2.12), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\cdot D\Gamma^\alpha Y\\
&=(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}D\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big[\partial_1\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_1\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2\Gamma^\beta Y^2\big]\\
&=(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big[\partial_1D\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_1\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2D\Gamma^\beta Y^2\big]\\
&\quad+(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big[\partial_1\Gamma^\beta Y^2\partial_2D\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\partial_1D\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\partial_2\Gamma^\beta Y^2\big]\\
&=(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^\perp\cdot\sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big[D\Gamma^\beta Y^2\nabla\Gamma^\gamma Y^1-\nabla\Gamma^\beta Y^2D\Gamma^\gamma Y^1\big].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla\cdot D\Gamma^{\alpha}Y\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha}\big\||D\Gamma^{\beta}Y||D\Gamma^{\gamma}Y|\big\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|D\Gamma^{|\alpha|}Y\|_{L^2}\|D\Gamma^{[|\alpha|/2]}\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Hence, we see that $\big\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^{\perp}\cdot D\Gamma^{\kappa-3}Y\big\|_{L^2}$ is equivalent of $\big\|D\Gamma^{\kappa-3}Y\big\|_{L^2}$ since

$$\Big|\big\|D\Gamma^{\kappa-3}Y\big\|_{L^2}^2-\big\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^{\perp}\cdot D\Gamma^{\kappa-3}Y\big\|_{L^2}^2\Big|\lesssim\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-3}^2\lesssim\epsilon^2\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}.$$

Then we can replace all $\mathcal{E}_{\kappa-2}$ appeared throughout this paper by $\big\|(-\Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^{\perp}\cdot D\Gamma^{\kappa-3}Y\big\|_{L^2}^2$ without changing the final result. Then (6.2) gives the second differential inequality at the end of section 2.

Acknowledgement.

The author would like to thank Prof. Jean Bourgain, Prof. Thomas Sideris and Prof. Yi Zhou for many stimulating discussions. He also would like to thank Prof. Weinan E, Prof. Jiaxing Hong and Prof. Fanghua Lin for their long-standing supports and encouragements during the past several years when the author was working on this problem. Part of this work was carried out when the author was a member of IAS during the spring of 2014. He would like to thank the hospitality of the Institute. His work was partially supported by the S. S. Chern Foundation for Mathematics Research Fund and the Charles Simonyi Endowment via the IAS. The author was also in part supported by NSFC (grant No.11171072, 11121101 and 11222107), NCET-12-0120, Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (grant No.12ZZ012), Shanghai Talent Development Fund and SGST 09DZ2272900.

References

[1] Agemi, R. *Global existence of nonlinear elastic waves.* Invent. Math. 142 (2000), no. 2, 225–250.

[2] Alinhac, S. *The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions I.* Invent. Math. 145 (2001), no. 3, 597–618.

[3] Alinhac, S. *Blowup of small data solutions for a class of quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions. II.* Acta Math. 182 (1999), no. 1, 1–23.

[4] Alinhac, S. *Blowup of small data solutions for a quasilinear wave equation in two space dimensions.* Ann. of Math. (2) 149 (1999), no. 1, 97–127.

[5] Alinhac, S. *Rank 2 singular solutions for quasilinear wave equations*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2000, no. 18, 955–984.

[6] Alinhac, S. *The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions*. II. Amer. J. Math. 123 (2001), no. 6, 1071–1101.

[7] Chen, Y. and Zhang, P. *The global existence of small solutions to the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system in 2 and 3 space dimensions*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 31 (2006), no. 10-12, 1793-1810.

[8] Christodoulou, D. *Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small data*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986), 267–282.

[9] He, L. and Xu, L. *Global well-posedness for viscoelastic fluid system in bounded domains*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 42 (2010), no. 6, 2610-2625.

[10] Hoshiga A. *The initial value problems for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions with small data*. Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. 5, (1995), 6789.

[11] Hu, X. and Wang, D. *Global existence for the multi-dimensional compressible viscoelastic flows*. J. Differential Equations 250 (2011), no. 2, 1200-1231.

[12] John, F. *Blow-up for quasilinear wave equations in three space dimensions*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 34 (1981), no. 1, 2951.

[13] John F. *Formation of singularities in elastic waves*, Lecture Notes in Physics 195 Springer- Verlag, New York (1984), 194–210.

[14] John F. *Almost global existence of elastic waves of finite amplitude arising from small initial disturbances*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988), 615–666.

[15] John, F. and Klainerman, S. *Almost global existence to nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984), no. 4, 443–455.

[16] Katayama, S. *Global existence for systems of nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions, II*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 31 (1995), no. 4, 645–665.

[17] Kessenich, P. *Global Existence with Small Initial Data for Three-Dimensional Incompressible Isotropic Viscoelastic Materials*, available online at arXiv:0903.2824

[18] Klainerman, S., *Uniform decay estimates and the Lorentz invariance of the classical wave equation*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 38 (1985), no. 3, 321–332.

[19] Klainerman, S. *The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations*, Lect. in Appl. Math. 23 (1986), 293–326.

[20] Klainerman, S. and Sideris, T. C. *On almost global existence for nonrelativistic wave equations in 3D*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 49 (1996), 307–321.

[21] Lei, Z., *Global existence and incompressible limit for systems of viscoelastic fluids*, Ph.d thesis, Fudan University, 2006.

[22] Lei, Z. *Rotation-Strain decomposition for the incompressible viscoelasticity in two dimensions*, available online at arXiv:1204.5763

[23] Lei, Z. *On 2D viscoelasticity with small strain.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 198 (2010), no. 1, 13-37.

[24] Lei Z., Liu C. and Zhou Y. *Global existence for a 2D incompressible viscoelastic model with small strain.* Comm. Math. Sci., **5** (2007), no. 3, 595–616.

[25] Lei, Z., Liu, C., and Zhou, Y. *Global solutions for incompressible viscoelastic fluids.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 188 (2008), no. 3, 371–398.

[26] Lei, Z. and Zhou, Y. *Global existence of classical solutions for 2D Oldroyd model via the incompressible limit.* SIAM J. Math. Anal., 37 (2005), no. 3, 797-814.

[27] Lei, Z., Sideris, T. C., and Zhou, Y. *Almost Global existence for 2-D incompressible isotropic elastodynamics.* To appear in Tran. AMS.

[28] Lin, F. H., *Some analytical issues for elastic complex fluids.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 65 (2012), no. 7, 893–919.

[29] Lin, F. H., Liu, C., and Zhang, P. *On hydrodynamics of viscoelastic fluids.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), no. 11 1437-1471.

[30] Lin, F.H. and Zhang, P. *On the initial-boundary value problem of the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 61 (2008), no. 4, 539-558.

[31] Li, T. T. *Global existence for systems of nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions.* Publ. RIMS, 231, 645–665 (1995).

[32] R. Ogden, Nonlinear Elastic Deformations, E. Horwood Series: *Mathematics and its Applications*, Halsted Press, New York (1984).

[33] Qian, J. and Zhang, Z. *Global well-posedness for compressible viscoelastic fluids near equilibrium.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 198 (2010), no. 3, 835-868.

[34] Zhang, T and Fang, D. *Global well-posedness for the incompressible viscoelastic fluids in the critical L^p framework*, available at arXiv:1101.5864.

[35] Zhang, T and Fang, D. *Global existence in critical spaces for incompressible viscoelastic fluids*, available at arXiv:1101.5862.

[36] Sideris T. C. *The null condition and global existence of nonlinear elastic waves*, Invent. Math. 123 (1996), 323–342.

[37] Sideris, T. C. *Nonresonance and global existence of prestressed nonlinear elastic waves*. Ann. of Math. (2) 151 (2000), no. 2, 849–874.

[38] Sideris, T. C. and Thomases, B. *Global existence for three-dimensional incompressible isotropic elastodynamics via the incompressible limit*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), no. 6, 750–788.

[39] Sideris, T. C. and Thomases, B. *Local energy decay for solutions of multidimensional isotropic symmetric hyperbolic systems*. J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. 3 (2006), no. 4, 673–690.

[40] Sideris, T. C. and Thomases, B. *Global existence for 3d incompressible isotropic elastodynamcis*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 60 (2007), no. 12, 1707–1730.

[41] Sideris, T. C. and Tu, S.-Y. *Global existence for systems of nonlinear wave equations in 3D with multiple speeds*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 33 (2001), no. 2, 477–488

[42] Tahvildar-Zadeh, A. S. *Relativistic and nonrelativistic elastodynamics with small shear strains*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré - Phys. Théor. 69 (1998), 275–307.

[43] Yokoyama, K. *Global existence of classical solutions to systems of wave equations with critical nonlinearity in three space dimensions*. J. Math. Soc. Japan 52 (2000), no. 3, 609–632.